UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/525,892	05/16/2005	Vibhudutta Awasthi	21105.0009U2	8484	
23859 NEEDIE & D	7590 10/18/2007 OSENDEDC D C		EXAMINER		
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. SUITE 1000			MAEWALL, SNIGDHA		
999 PEACHTI ATLANTA, G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
77727777, 0	<i>7</i> 1130307 3710		1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/525,89	2	AWASTHI ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Snigdha M	laewall	1615					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communications reply	n appears on the	cover sheet w	rith the correspondence ac	ddress				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN 1997 I	NG DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. period will apply and will statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNI ent, however, may a II expire SIX (6) MOI iication to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07/10/2007.							
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)□	<del>'</del>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
-	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction an	id/or election req	uirement.						
Applicati	on Papers			•					
9) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection t								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. No	te the attache	d Office Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority und	der 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			received in this National	Stage				
* 0	application from the International B	•		traccived					
3	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certif	ied copies no	received.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	18)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or group of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-27 is drawn to a neutral liposome comprising an encapsulated compound.
- II. Claim 28 is drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising liposome and a carrier.
- III. Claims 29-34 are drawn to a method of preparing a liposome.
- IV. Claim 35 is drawn to a method of treating or preventing a disease.
- V. Claim 36 is drawn to a method for screening a liposome.
- VI. Claim 37 is drawn to a method of treating a disease.

Art Unit: 1615

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if any). Whether or not any particular technical feature makes a "contribution" over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature," should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step.

The common technical feature in all groups is liposome. The element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art.

In this case, WO/02/03959 A1 discloses liposomes and their uses. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

Art Unit: 1615

These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- (1) lipids
- (2) steroid compound
- (3) encapsulated compound
- (4) hydrophilic compound
- (5) starch compound.

If either of the Groups I-VI is elected, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species of the following compounds:

- (1) lipid
- (2) steroid compound
- (3) encapsulated compound
- (4) hydrophilic compound
- (5) starch compound.

The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 1615

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1, 3, 7, 13, 17 and 22.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The various species under

- (1) lipid
- (2) steroid compound
- (3) encapsulated compound
- (4) hydrophilic compound
- (5) starch compound.

are structurally different and the polymers claimed are structurally different and distinct. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1615

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Effective November 1, 2007, if applicant wishes to present more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims in an application, applicant will be required to file an examination support document (ESD) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 before the first Office action on the merits (hereafter "5/25 claim threshold"). See Changes to Practice for Continued Examination Filings, Patent Applications Containing Patentably Indistinct Claims, and Examination of Claims in Patent Applications, 72 Fed. Reg. 46715 (Aug. 21, 2007), 1322 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 76 (Sept. 11, 2007) (final rule). The changes to 37 CFR 1.75(b) apply to any pending applications in which a first Office action on the merits (FAOM) has not been mailed before November 1, 2007. Withdrawn claims will not be taken into account in determining whether an application exceeds the 5/25 claim threshold. For more information on the final rule, please see <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/presentation/clmcontfinalrule.html">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/presentation/clmcontfinalrule.html</a>.

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in this Office action, applicant is required to file an election responsive to the restriction requirement. Applicant may not file a suggested restriction requirement (SRR) in lieu of an election responsive to the restriction requirement as a reply. A SRR alone will not be considered a *bona-fide* reply to this Office action.

Art Unit: 1615

If applicant elects an invention that is drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims, applicant will not be required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 that covers each of the elected claims. If the elected invention is drawn to more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims, applicant may file an amendment canceling a number of elected claims so that the elected invention would be drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims.

If the restriction requirement is mailed <u>on or after</u> November 1, 2007, applicant is also required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 that covers each of the elected claims, unless the elected invention is drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims taking into account any amendment to the claims. To avoid the abandonment of the application, the ESD (if required) and the election must be filed within **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this Office action. The two-month time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136.

If the restriction requirement is mailed <u>before</u> November 1, 2007, the election must be filed within **ONE MONTH** or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this Office action. The time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136. Furthermore, if the elected invention is drawn to more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims taking into account any amendment to the claims, the Office will notify applicant and provide a time period in which applicant is required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 covering each of the elected claims or amend the application to contain no more than 5 independent elected claims and no more than 25 total elected claims.

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Snigdha Maewall whose telephone number is (571)-272-6197. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/525,892 Page 8

Art Unit: 1615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Snigdha Maewall

Art Unit 1615

Golfarhudi S. Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner

Group 1600